

# CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR REVIEWING THE REMUNERATION PACKAGES FOR OFFICIALS UNDER THE POLITICAL APPOINTMENT SYSTEM

**FINAL REPORT** 

March 2012

HEWITT ASSOCIATES LLC (AON HEWITT)

# **Table of Contents**

1.	Executive Summary	3
2.	Introduction	5
3.	Review of Overseas Practices	8
4.	Remuneration Survey	. 14
5.	Recommendations	. 26
6.	Summary Of The Recommendations	. 31
Арр	endix A: Invitation List	. 32
Арр	endix B: Participating Companies/Organisations	. 37
Арр	endix C: LTI valuation methodology	. 39
Ann	endix D: Job Evaluation Factor Definitions	40

#### 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- At the request of the Independent Commission on Remuneration for Members of the Executive Council, the Legislature, and Officials under the Political Appointment System, the HKSAR Government (HKSARG) commissioned Aon Hewitt to:
  - (a) undertake research into the remuneration practices for political appointees in overseas jurisdictions;
  - (b) conduct a survey to assess the latest relevant pay levels offered by the private sector; and
  - (c) provide advice on the remuneration packages for politically-appointed officials (PAOs) serving in the fourth-term HKSARG.
- 2. Chapter 3 sets out the details of the remuneration practices in six overseas jurisdictions, i.e. the United Kingdom, the United States, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and Singapore. We are of the view that:
  - (a) due to the differences in the political systems and socio-economic situations, approaches taken in the selected jurisdictions may not be applicable to Hong Kong; and
  - (b) it is more appropriate for the PAOs' remuneration to be reviewed and adjusted having regard to the performance of Hong Kong's economy, the relevant pay levels of the public and private sectors, and the institutional needs of the HKSARG.
- 3. Chapter 4 sets out the methodology and findings of the survey.
  - (a) 64 companies/organizations participated in the survey.
  - (b) There has been a clear and substantial increase in the pay for the senior executives over the last ten years. For example, the average total direct compensation for the senior executives has increased by 38% over the period.

4. Chapter 5 sets out our recommendations on the remuneration packages for PAOs. A summary is as follows:

Rank	Approved by the Finance Committee of the Legislative Council (per annum)	Existing level of remuneration (per annum)	Recommended level of remuneration (per annum)	Compa	a-Ratio
	(HK\$)	(HK\$)	(HK\$)	Recommended/ Approved level	Recommended/ Existing level
cs	3,966,780	3,753,420	4,485,000	13.1%	19.5%
FS	3,832,620	3,626,460	4,333,320	13.1%	19.5%
SJ	3,703,020	3,503,820	4,186,800	13.1%	19.5%
DoBs	3,577,380	3,384,960	4,045,200	13.1%	19.5%
Under Secretaries	2,325,300 - 2,683,020	2,200,200 - 2,538,720	2,629,380 - 3,033,900	13.1%	19.5%
Political	1,252,080	1,184,760	1,011,300	-19.2%	-14.6%
Assistants	1,967,520	1,861,680	1,415,820	-28.0%	- -23.9%
Annual Adjustment Mechanism	-	-	Possible options include Rate of Civil Service Pay Adjustment and CPI	-	-
Regular Review	-	Once every five years	Once every five years	-	-

# 2. Introduction

At the request of the Independent Commission on Remuneration for Members of the Executive Council, the Legislature, and Officials under the Political Appointment System ("the Independent Commission")<sup>1</sup>, the HKSARG appointed Aon Hewitt to provide consultancy services for reviewing the remuneration packages for officials under the Political Appointment System, which include the Chief Secretary for Administration (CS), Financial Secretary (FS), Secretary for Justice (SJ), Directors of Bureau (DoBs), Under Secretaries and Political Assistants.

## 2.1. OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this Consultancy are to:

- (a) gather information on how the remuneration packages for the political appointees (including the ministerial positions) of other countries are determined and adjusted annually (or otherwise);
- gather information on the remuneration packages for the top three layers of executives of leading private sector companies, professional firms and public sector bodies/companies in Hong Kong;
- (c) provide advice on the remuneration packages for PAOs (i.e. the CS, FS, SJ, DoBs, Under Secretaries, and Political Assistants) serving in the fourth-term HKSARG (2012 2017); and
- (d) provide advice on the adjustment mechanism for the remuneration of the PAOs.

#### 2.2. BACKGROUND

The remuneration packages for officials under the Political Appointment System<sup>2</sup> were drawn up in 2002 with reference to the level of responsibilities they were expected to discharge.

\_

The Independent Commission is chaired by Mr Vincent Cheng. Other members are Mr Nicky Lo Kar-chun, Mr Chow Chung-kong, Mrs Betty Yuen So Siu-mai, Professor Chan Yuk-shee and Ms Kelly Chan Yuen-sau.

According to its terms of reference, the Independent Commission "carries out periodic review of the remuneration package for Officials under the Political Appointment System, say once every five years and normally about a year before the start of a new term of the HKSAR Government".

The Political Appointment System (formerly known as "Accountability System") was introduced on 1 July 2002. A total of 14 Principal Officials, i.e. three Secretaries of Department (i.e. CS, FS and SJ) and 11 DoBs, were appointed on contract terms.

# **Remuneration packages for Principal Officials**

The HKSARG commissioned a consultant to conduct a study and recommend an appropriate remuneration package for the principal officials (POs) (i.e. Secretaries of Department and DoBs). The Consultant recommended that the median level of total remuneration of the 56 Chief Executive Officers ("CEOs") covered in the survey (\$6,658,000 per annum) should be used as the reference point. The HKSAR Government considered that the median level of total direct compensation (i.e. total remuneration of\$6,658,000 per annum less the elements relating to retirement benefits or end of contract gratuity payments or \$6.045.000 per annum) was a better reference point. It was because the nature of employment as a PO was such that it might be cut short at any time without cause or compensation. It would not be appropriate to think in terms of retirement benefits or end of contract gratuity payments. The consultant further recommended that a downward adjustment differential be considered and the range of the remuneration package recommended for DoBs be set at between \$3,662,000 and \$3,995,000 per annum, which falls within the 15th to 20th percentile of the total remuneration of the CEOs surveyed (\$3.48) million per annum and \$4.48 million per annum respectively).

Subsequently, the HKSARG made a decision to:

- (a) cap the value of the remuneration package for DoBs at \$3.76 million<sup>3</sup>, which is equivalent to the annual weighted average costs to the taxpayer of the remuneration package for civil servants at D8 Level; and
- (b) set the pay differential between DoBs, SJ, FS and CS at 3.5% for each tier.

## Other components

Apart from the cash remuneration, the POs are also entitled to:

- (a) annual leave of 22 working days per annum (subject to a maximum accumulation limit of 22 days);
- (b) medical and dental benefits;
- (c) Mandatory Provident Fund (MPF) contribution by the Government; and
- (d) a car and driver for use at their discretion.

\_

The remuneration package for DoBs proposed by the HKSARG comprises three parts, i.e. \$3,742,800 per annum for cash remuneration (\$311,900 per month), \$12,000 per annum for MPF contribution and \$5,808 for medical and dental coverage a year. In accordance with the employment package approved by the Finance Committee of the Legislative Council in June 2002, the cash remuneration for DoBs would be subject to adjustment according to the 2002 civil service pay cut (-4.42%). As a result, the monthly cash remuneration for DoBs was reduced from \$311,900 to \$298,115 per month.

For the three Secretaries of Department, they are assigned their respective official residences and are entitled to a non-accountable entertainment allowance.

The above proposals were approved by the Finance Committee ("FC") of the Legislative Council ("LegCo") in 2002.

# Remuneration packages for Under Secretaries and Political Assistants

In 2007, the Political Appointment System was expanded with the creation of two additional layers of PAOs, namely Under Secretaries and Political Assistants. Based on the remuneration ranges approved by the LegCo FC, the remuneration for Under Secretaries is pitched at a range equivalent to 65% to 75%<sup>4</sup> of the remuneration for a DoB. As regards Political Assistants, their remuneration is pitched at a range equivalent to 35% to 55%<sup>5</sup> of the remuneration for a DoB.

In addition to cash remuneration, the Under Secretaries and Political Assistants are also eligible for annual leave of 22 days per annum (subject to a maximum accumulation limit of 22 days), medical and dental benefits and MPF contribution by the Government, on the same basis as those provided to Principal Officials.

# Salary adjustment

In the absence of an annual adjustment mechanism, there have been two occasions of voluntary pay reduction by PAOs since 2002:

- (a) all PAOs in the second term HKSARG (which ended on 30 June 2007) accepted a 10% voluntary reduction of their remuneration from 1 April 2003<sup>6</sup>; and
- (b) all PAOs in the current term HKSARG accepted a 5.38% voluntary reduction of their remuneration from 1 July 2009.

The remuneration range for Under Secretaries is broadly equivalent to the remuneration of a D4 to D6 civil servant on agreement terms with all allowances and end-of-contract gratuity encashed.

The remuneration range for Political Assistants is broadly equivalent to the remuneration of a senior professional to D2 civil servant on agreement terms with all allowances and end-of-contract gratuity encashed.

The 10% voluntary pay reduction does not apply to the PAOs serving in the current term HKSARG who took office on 1 July 2007.

## 3. REVIEW OF OVERSEAS PRACTICES

This section covers six overseas jurisdictions, i.e. Australia, Singapore, United Kingdom, United States, Canada and New Zealand. During the process of research, we have examined open source information and reviewed relevant reports and studies regarding the remuneration practices in each of the six jurisdictions. Based on the information gathered, we drafted a set of questions and distributed to our project teams in the respective jurisdiction to conduct the research. This can provide a unified framework for the analysis, comparison and reporting of our findings. The table below sets out the details of the findings.

	UK	USA	Canada	Australia	New Zealand	Singapore
Current levels of remuneration	■Cabinet Minister £145,492  ■Minister of State £107,108  ■Parliamentary Under Secretary of State £97,139  ■Special Advisors (Scheme ceiling: £142,668)  ■Parliamentary Private Secretary £65,738	Level 1: Cabinet Members \$199,700  Level 2: Deputy Secretaries \$179,700  Level 3: Under Secretaries \$165,300  Level 4: Assistant Secretaries (and General Counsels) \$155,500  Level 5: Assistants / Directors \$145,700	Canada  Minister CAN\$233,247  Minister of State CAN\$214,368  Parliamentary Secretary CAN\$173,565	<ul> <li>Minister in Cabinet (also Manager of Government Business in the Senate) AU\$323,750<sup>7</sup></li> <li>Other Ministers in the Cabinet AU\$319,125</li> <li>Other Minister (also Manager of Government Business in the Senate) AU\$309,875</li> <li>Other Ministers AU\$291,375</li> <li>Parliamentary Secretary (also Manager of Government Business in the Senate)</li> </ul>	New Zealand  Cabinet Ministers NZ\$257,800  Ministers NZ\$217,200  Other member of the Executive Council NZ\$189,000  Parliamentary Under-Secretary NZ\$168,600	Deputy PM SG\$1,870,000  Minister (MR4 - Entry Level for Ministers) SG\$1,100,000  Senior Minister of State SG\$935,000  Minister of State SG\$770,000  Mayor / Senior Parliamentary Secretary SG\$660,000  Parliamentary
				Government Business in		

From 15 March 2012 each Senator and Member of the House of Representatives is paid a base salary of \$185,000 per annum (http://www.remtribunal.gov.au/determinationsReports/byYear/2012/2012-02%20Determination.pdf).

	UK	USA	Canada	Australia	New Zealand	Singapore
Structure of the remuneration	<ul><li>Annual Salary as a Member of</li></ul>	■Annual Salary	■Annual Salary as an MP	<ul><li>Annual Salary as an MP</li></ul>	■Annual Salary as an MP	■Fixed Annual Salary
packages	Parliament (MP)  Additional remuneration as an office holder (e.g. cabinet minister)  Allowances  Medical benefits  Pensions	<ul><li>Medical benefits</li><li>Other entitlements</li><li>Pensions</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Additional remuneration as an office holder (e.g. cabinet minister)</li> <li>Medical benefits</li> <li>Other entitlements</li> <li>Pensions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Additional remuneration as an office holder (e.g. cabinet minister)</li> <li>Superannuation</li> <li>Electorate allowance</li> <li>Other entitlements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Additional remuneration as an office holder (e.g. cabinet minister)</li> <li>Superannuation subsidy</li> <li>Other entitlements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Annual Variable Component<sup>8</sup></li> <li>Individual Performance Bonus</li> <li>National Bonus<sup>9</sup></li> <li>Central Provident Fund</li> </ul>
The authority responsible for the review / adjustment of remuneration	■The Independent Parliamentary Standards Authority (IPSA)	Salaries are adjusted in accordance with the mechanism set out in the Ethics Reform Act 1989 <sup>10</sup> .	Salaries are adjusted in accordance with relevant legislation (including the Parliament of Canada Act and the Jobs and Economic Growth Act).	■The Remuneration Tribunal	■The Remuneration Authority	<ul> <li>An independent committee appointed by the Prime Minister</li> </ul>

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Annual Variable Component refers to mid-year and year-end payments based on Singapore economic performance.

National Bonus is calculated on the basis of the following (with equal weighting) –

<sup>(</sup>i) Real median income growth rate of Singapore citizens;

<sup>(</sup>ii) Real growth rate of the lowest 20th percentile income of Singapore citizens;

<sup>(</sup>iii) Unemployment rate of Singapore citizen; and

<sup>(</sup>iv) Real GDP growth

The Ethics Reform Act of 1989 provides for an annual salary adjustment for all positions on the Executive Schedule, which outlines the pay scales or rate levels for appointed positions within the U.S. government (United States Code, Title 5, Subchapter II) and are denoted by the Roman numerals I, II, III, IV and V. Title 5 further outlines what appointed positions are eligible for each pay level under the Executive Schedule. (http://www.wisegeek.com/what-is-the-executive-schedule.htm).

	UK	USA	Canada	Australia	New Zealand	Singapore
Basis/Benchmark for determining the level of remuneration	■£400 per annum starting from 1911 <sup>11</sup> .  The Salary of MPs was adjusted at irregular intervals and there was no regular machinery for its review.  An independent body called "The Top Salaries Review Body" (renamed the "Review Body on Senior Salaries" in July 1993) <sup>12</sup> was set up in 1971 to review the salaries and allowances of MPs.  The IPSA was set up in 2009 to determine the pay of MPs with effective from 2012.	The Executive Schedule sets out the pay scales for the highest- ranked appointed positions in the Executive Branch of the U.S. government.	Remuneration of MPs is set out in the Parliament of Canada Act. MPs who occupy certain offices and positions (e.g. Cabinet Ministers) are entitled to additional remuneration 13.	<ul> <li>In December 1999 the         Australian Government made a regulation under the         Remuneration and Allowances         Act (updated in December 2005) to link the parliamentary base salary to a reference salary (Reference Salary A) within the Tribunal's Principal Executive Office Structure.</li> <li>The Remuneration Tribunal has determined a new base salary figure for each Senator and Member of the House of Representatives with effect from 15 March 2012.<sup>14</sup></li> <li>Additional remuneration is payable to those parliamentarians who are also Ministers.</li> </ul>	Salaries and allowances are paid at rates determined by a statutory commission called the Remuneration Authority.	The salary of entry–level minister (MR4) (whose salary is pitched at 60% of the median income of top 1,000 Singapore Citizens income earners) is used as the benchmark to derive the salaries of the President, and political appointment holders.

http://www.parliament.uk/documents/commons-information-office/fymp/m05.pdf http://www.official-documents.gov.uk/document/cm82/8297/8297.pdf http://www.parl.gc.ca/parlinfo/lists/Salaries.aspx?Menu=HOC-Politic&Section=03d93c58-f843-49b3-9653-84275c23f3fb http://www.remtribunal.gov.au/determinationsReports/byYear/2012/2012-02%20Determination.pdf 

	UK	USA	Canada	Australia	New Zealand	Singapore
Adjustment Mechanism	<ul> <li>The IPSA has announced in March 2012 its decision<sup>15</sup> to:</li> <li>(a) keep MPs' pay at its 2010 level in 2012/13;</li> <li>(b) consult on a proposal to apply a 1% increase to MPs' pay in both 2013 and 2014; and</li> <li>(c) conduct a thorough review of pay and pensions, announcing the way forward by the end of 2013.</li> </ul>	■As set out in the Ethics Reform Act of 1989, the adjustment <sup>16</sup> is based on the percentage change in the wages and salaries (not seasonally adjusted) for the private industry workers element of the Employment Cost Index <sup>17</sup> , minus 0.5% (December indicator).  ■The adjustment becomes effective at the same time as, and at a rate no greater than, the annual base pay rate adjustment for federal white-collar civilian employees under the General Schedule <sup>18</sup> .  ■The adjustment cannot be less than zero or greater than 5%.	•Salaries and allowances are adjusted in accordance with the index of the average percentage change in base-rate wages for each calendar year, resulting from major settlements negotiated with bargaining units of 500 or more employees in the private sector in Canada, as published by the Department of Human Resources Development <sup>19</sup> .	The Remuneration Tribunal will make a determination on parliamentary base salary and report to the Government annually on the additional salary payable to Ministers	■The Remuneration Authority must review and issue a fresh determination at least once every three years <sup>22</sup> .  ■In practice, it has issued determination on an annual basis.	•Salaries of political appointment holders are adjusted according to the movement of the salary of MR4 <sup>23</sup> .

-

http://www.parliament.uk/briefing-papers/SN06244

http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RS20388.pdf

The Employment Cost Index (ECI) measures the change in the cost of labour, free from the influence of employment shifts among occupations and industries, (http://www.bls.gov/news.release/eci.tn.htm)

The General Schedule (GS) is the predominant pay scale within the United States civil service. It includes the majority of white collar personnel (professional, technical, administrative, and clerical) positions and is comprised of 15 "grades" (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/General Schedule (US civil service pay scale).

http://www.parl.gc.ca/parlinfo/lists/Salaries.aspx?Menu=HOC-Politic&Section=03d93c58-f843-49b3-9653-84275c23f3fb

<sup>20</sup> The Ministers of State Act 1952, as amended, makes provisions for an annual appropriation which is apportioned in annual salaries to the Prime Minister, Deputy Prime Minister. Treasurer, Leader of the Government in the Senate, Leader of the House, other Ministers and Parliamentary Secretaries. The Remuneration Tribunal's Ministers' additional be found most recent report salary can at (http://www.remtribunal.gov.au/determinationsReports/byYear/2011/Report%201%20of%202011.pdf).

http://www.remtribunal.gov.au/federalParliamentarians/default.asp.

http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/1977/0110/latest/DLM16167.html http://reviewcommittee2011.files.wordpress.com/2011/12/salaries-for-a-capable-and-committed-govt.pdf

#### 3.1. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Our research on the remuneration practices in the selected jurisdictions points to the following key findings:

- (a) there is no single formula for determining and adjusting the pay for political appointees. Each of the selected jurisdictions has its own unique system and policies. For example, Singapore puts strong emphasis on the pay of the top earners of its private sector in determining and adjusting the ministerial pay, while in the United States, the levels of remuneration of the political appointees are linked to the changes in the costs of labour for businesses in the United States;
- (b) the structure of the remuneration packages of political appointees varies across the selected jurisdictions. For the jurisdictions that adopt parliamentary system (i.e. the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and Singapore), the packages of the political appointees (who serve as MPs) usually consist of salary as an MP, additional salary as an office holder and a number of allowances to cover costs of providing constituent services. While in the United States (which adopts the presidential system), the political appointees are not members of the Congress and they receive a fixed annual salary as office holders;
- (c) most of the selected jurisdictions (i.e. the United Kingdom, Australia, New Zealand and Singapore) have established independent bodies to review or recommend adjustment to the pay for political appointees. This can ensure the independence and objectivity of the review and reduce political influences in decision making; and
- (d) some of the selected jurisdictions (i.e. the United States, Australia, Canada and Singapore) have put in place an automatic adjustment mechanism for the salaries of their political appointees. For example, the salaries of political appointees in the United States are adjusted annually in accordance with the Employment Cost Index, which measures change in labour costs.

#### 3.2. IMPLICATIONS FOR HONG KONG

Having regard to overseas experience, we see merit for the remuneration of political appointees to be reviewed by an independent body to minimise any potential conflict of interests and political influence. In the case of Hong Kong, the Independent Commission plays an important role in reviewing the remuneration packages for PAOs and providing advice and recommendations to the HKSARG.

Second, as in other jurisdictions, we consider it necessary to put in place an adjustment mechanism to ensure that the remuneration for political appointees is adjusted in line with movements in the economy and the pay of both public and private sectors.

On the other hand, we should also recognise the differences in the political systems and socio-economic situations between the selected jurisdictions and Hong Kong. Approaches taken in the remuneration review in jurisdictions where ministers are professional politicians may not be applicable to Hong Kong where PAOs may be drawn from different sectors and backgrounds.

To sum up, we recommend that the levels of remuneration of PAOs should be reviewed and adjusted having regard to the actual situation of Hong Kong. Factors that should be considered include the performance of the economy, the relevant pay levels of the public and private sectors, and the institutional needs of the HKSARG.

#### 4. REMUNERATION SURVEY

#### 4.1. OVERVIEW

Our aim is to gather information about the remuneration packages for the top three layers of executives of leading private sector companies, professional firms and public sector bodies/companies in Hong Kong.

We issued invitations to a total of 229 organisations to participate in a survey. The invitation list is at **Appendix A** for reference.

Over 70 organisations confirmed participation and 64 provided data within the timeframe eventually. The list of participating organisations is at **Appendix B**.

The reference date for the data was set at 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2011. However, due to the difficulties of collecting and annualizing the Variable Pay, some submissions may be based on earlier dates. All data must be valid throughout the period from 2011 to 2012.

#### 4.2. PAY ELEMENTS

We have collated data regarding the various pay elements for the participants, which include basic salary, fixed bonus, allowances, variable pay, other non-fixed cash benefits as well as long term incentives (LTIs)<sup>24</sup> for compilation of "Base Salary", "Guaranteed Cash", "Total Cash" and "Total Direct Compensation" and "Total Remuneration".

We noted that "Total Direct Compensation" (which includes Base Salary, Allowances, Fixed Payments, Variable Pay and LTIs, Retirement benefits or end of contract gratuity are excluded from the calculation) was used as a reference point for determining the pay for POs back in 2002<sup>25</sup>.

Given that the nature of employment as a PAO is such that it may be cut short at any time without cause or compensation, we agree that it is inappropriate to include retirement benefits or end of contract gratuity payments in the calculation and that the "Total Direct Compensation" is a better benchmark for comparison as it generally represents the total amount of pay received by top executives in the private sectors.

The LTIs are incentive arrangements designed to reward an individual for performance over a period of more than one year. The LTIs are not prevalent among the participants, which is similar to the findings of the survey conducted in 2001. The valuation of the LTIs is conducted internally by Aon Hewitt. The most prevalent LTI vehicle, Stock Options, is valued using Black Scholes formula and under a set of standardised parameters. Details of the Black Scholes valuation are set out in **Appendix C**.

http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr01-02/english/panels/ca/papers/ca0418cb2-paper-e.pdf

#### 4.3. SELECTION CRITERIA AND DATA CLEANSING

# **Organisations**

The selected organisations:

- (a) should be considered stable employers that have established and systematic pay policy as well as salary administration and adjustment/review process;
- (b) should be regarded as leaders in their respective sectors;
- (c) should be respected and influential employers in their respective industries employing 100 employees or more;
- (d) should not be using the Government's pay adjustment or civil service pay scales as the main criteria in determining pay adjustments or setting pay levels; and
- (e) should have a minimum annual revenue of HKD 100 million.

Those which did not match the above criteria were screened out during the invitation and data collection stage.

# Incumbents (Individuals)

The survey covers the top three layers of the executives who are in leadership positions and have a strategic impact on the organisation they work for. The submitted data was cleaned and checked. The incumbents not belonging to such roles are not included in the survey.

The total number of incumbents accepted for the survey is 1,261.

#### 4.4. RESPONSE RATES

Most of the organisations that declined participation cited the time constraint, decision makers not in Hong Kong or not available during the period of the survey, too much work required to provide remuneration data and no interest in this study as main reasons for not participating in the survey. Aon Hewitt logged all responses and communications for quality assurance and internal checking.

While responses from private sector companies and public sector organisations are satisfactory, the number of professional firms responding was less than the other two sectors. During the invitation process, we note that many professional firms, particularly those operating in the form of partnership, declined to participate citing:

- 1. they have no interest in providing any pay data for this survey;
- 2. as there is no corporate-wide central control, they must obtain consent from each of the partners before releasing the pay data, making it difficult to collect data within the designated time frame; and
- 3. Partners at professional companies are not only the executives of the company, but also the owners. A substantial part of their income comes from

the owner's side. They do not believe that their remuneration system is directly comparable with that for government officials.

Aon Hewitt sees the merits of having professional firms participating in the survey. However, their importance as market reference should not be over-emphasized. As a whole, we do not consider the relatively lower rate of participation by professional firms would affect the thoroughness and representation of the survey.

## 4.5. MARKET DATA SYNTHESISING

After collecting remuneration data of the top three layers of executives from each participating organisation, we need to identify and group the incumbents into appropriate ranks according to the job size, the scope and accountability of work.

For example, the CEO, top executive of company A may not have the same job size, scope or accountabilities as the Managing Director, the top executive of company B. Large conglomerates or companies may also have more than one "chief executives" as each may manage a large independent business unit or portfolio in HK making it effectively the local CEO is his/her own right. Using the levels (by reporting structure) alone and based on organization sizes (revenue and headcounts) is no longer sufficient to ensure we capture the relevant "chief executive" positions or equivalent in the survey organisation.

In this regard, Aon Hewitt has specially designed a questionnaire that incorporates our Job Evaluation methodology, JobLink<sup>TM</sup>, to evaluate the attributes of the job. JobLink<sup>TM</sup> is a factor point evaluation system that upon full application will award a score to each factor and sub-factor thus arriving at a total score. The ranges of scores are grouped into levels. The factors are:

- 1. Knowledge and Application
- 2. Problem Solving
- 3. Interaction
- 4. Impact
- Accountability

The definitions of these factors and level descriptions are set out in **Appendix D**.

Aon Hewitt had held various levels of discussions with the participating companies/organizations to introduce, and provide guidance and support for filling out the questionnaires. Client visits also took place upon request.

Over 90% of incumbents provided the factor scores (partially and full). Upon data cleaning, we sought clarification with the participants or made simple and obvious corrections to the data to make them consistent for processing. In some cases, we obtained the consent from the participants to fill out the questionnaire for them based on our communications. We are comfortable that the factor rating collected and cleaned adequately reflected the organisations' view of the positions.

#### 4.6. SYNTHESISING METHODOLOGY

We group the three layers of executives into four ranks in accordance with their factor levels adopted a **Waterfall Approach**:

- Only those top-layer executives with job data that reach the factor levels for top executives will form Rank 1. These are typically Chief Executives, Managing Partners or Managing Directors of the organizations. Those from the top layer who do not meet the factor levels for Rank 1 will go to the Rank 2 pool;
- 2. Layer-2 (layer below the top layer) executives with job data that reach the factor levels required for Rank 2, plus those from the top layer who do not meet the factor levels for Rank 1 will form the Rank 2 pool;
- 3. Layer-3 executives with job data that reach the factor levels required for Rank 3, plus those from Layer2 who do not reach the requirements for Rank 2 will form the Rank 3 pool;
- 4. Layer-3 executives with job data that do not meet the factor levels required for Rank 3 will be grouped under Rank 4.

Management Ranking	Knowledge	Application	Problem Solving	Interaction	Organization Impact	Personal Impact	Acc	ountability
Top Executive (Rank 1)	3	3	3 or 4	3	3 or 4	3 or 4	1 or 3	Significant Revenue
Rank 2	2 or 3	2	2 or 3	2 or 3	3 or 4	3	1 or 3	Accountable
Rank 3	1 or 2	1 or 2	2 or 3	2	2	2 or 3	Any	Not
Rank 4	1	1	1	1	1	2	Any	Considered

The pay level of Rank 1 executives would serve as a reference point for that of DoBs. The pay level of executives in Ranks 2-4 will provide a structured view of the pay levels of the lower levels of executives and serve as reference for the pay levels of Under Secretaries and Political Assistants.

#### 4.7. KEY SURVEY FINDINGS

## 4.7.1. OVERALL SECTOR FINDINGS

One-half of the total survey participants are from the private sector. The public sector accounts for 38% and the professional firms make up the rest (as summarized in the figure below).

Sector	Invited	Participated	% of Total
Public	24	24	37.5%
Professional	73	8	12.5%
Private	132	32	50%
Total	229	64	100%

In terms of management level as indicated by the participating organisations, 5% of total incumbents are at the top level (Level 1) while 30% are at Level 2 and 65% are at Level 3. The full breakdown is summarized in the figure below.

Sector	Level 1	% of Total in sector	Level 2	% of Total in sector	Level 3	% of Total in sector	Total	% of Total in survey
Public	19	4%	133	26%	363	70%	515	41%
Professional	5	4%	44	36%	73	60%	122	10%
Private	35	6%	209	33%	380	61%	624	49%
Total	59	5%	386	30%	816	65%	1261	100%

A summary of the organisations' Revenue Size, Total Operating Expenses, Total Payroll and Number of Staff is as follows:

Overall	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Ave
Revenues (HKD Million)	418	645	4,257	23,112	36,119	19,412
Total Operating Expenses (HKD Million)	166	374	1,323	4,688	17,763	9,486
Total Payroll (HKD Million)	81	224	513	1,066	3,502	1,797
Number of Staff	212	578	1,616	4,622	9,745	4,533
Public	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Ave
Revenues (HKD Million)	453	634	2,689	10,339	27,596	8,559
Total Operating Expenses (HKD Million)	278	435	903	4,062	16,143	4,849
Total Payroll (HKD Million)	90	231	513	1,010	3,286	2,040
Number of Staff	197	531	934	3,859	6,205	4,564
Professional	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Ave
Revenues (HKD Million)	158	234	336	1,395	12,085	4,588
Total Operating Expanses (HKD Million)	43	80	166	192	314	171
Total Payroll (HKD Million)	50	92	125	186	310	168
Number of Staff	177	253	325	1,018	2,869	1,084
Private	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Ave
Revenues (HKD Million)	636	3,532	11,030	30,286	71,081	31,639
Total Operating Expenses (HKD Million)	186	880	3,359	7,003	24,430	15,379
Total Payroll (HKD Million)	182	310	694	2,380	4,444	2,012
Number of Staff	696	1,226	2,301	5,438	10,544	5,429

(Only those organisations participating in this survey are included in this summary analysis)

# 4.7.2. FINDINGS BY SECTOR (ALL LAYERS COMBINED)

Overall	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Average
Annual Base Salary	955,560	1,192,320	1,515,840	2,011,752	2,716,440	1,774,405
Annual Housing Allowance	86,350	211,800	408,000	720,000	1,068,480	493,404
Annual Total Cash Allowance	54,587	144,000	271,908	721,500	1,087,728	459,610
Annual Total Cash Allowance as % of Base Salary	4%	10%	22%	45%	60%	28%
Annual Guaranteed Pay	1,044,000	1,280,000	1,726,800	2,359,992	3,120,000	2,014,948
Annual Total Variable Pay	142,971	300,000	585,455	1,117,350	2,242,606	1,100,386
Annual Total Variable Pay as % of Base Salary	12%	22%	36%	62%	108%	58%
Annual Actual Total Cash	1,194,300	1,560,004	2,220,000	3,169,056	4,720,500	2,812,532
Long Term Incentive	-	1	ı	-	13,481	92,191
Total Direct Compensation	1,195,100	1,564,772	2,247,300	3,233,560	5,087,500	2,904,723
No. of incumbent = 1,261						
Public	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Average
Annual Base Salary	1,000,920	1,279,200	1,601,136	2,118,000	2,823,290	1,823,321
Annual Housing Allowance	206,242	298,350	600,000	1,007,790	1,147,284	669,684
Annual Total Cash Allowance	118,800	207,918	592,063	971,659	1,169,788	625,122
Annual Total Cash Allowance as % of Base Salary	9%	19%	37%	59%	60%	36%
Annual Guaranteed Pay	1,121,784	1,402,800	1,980,000	2,672,047	3,378,144	2,170,304
Annual Total Variable Pay	126,802	267,975	539,100	981,893	1,686,592	885,425
Annual Total Variable Pay as % of Base Salary	10%	17%	29%	48%	70%	38%
Annual Actual Total Cash	1,192,032	1,565,056	2,188,320	3,076,710	4,328,800	2,668,893
Long Term Incentive	-	-	-	-	-	3,315
Total Direct Compensation	1,192,032	1,565,056	2,188,320	3,076,710	4,328,800	2,672,209
No. of incumbent = 515						
Private	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Average
Annual Base Salary	961,836	1,197,300	1,514,340	1,958,350	2,584,532	1,743,456
Annual Housing Allowance	12,000	120,000	240,000	446,400	780,000	320,060
Annual Total Cash Allowance	18,240	117,000	211,800	444,900	798,880	321,724
Annual Total Cash Allowance as % of Base Salary	1%	7%	16%	27%	56%	21%
Annual Guaranteed Pay	1,051,368	1,279,956	1,680,000	2,235,591	2,896,944	1,928,328
Annual Total Variable Pay	210,850	390,000	709,290	1,304,901	2,788,177	1,322,252
Annual Total Variable Pay as % of Base Salary	19%	29%	43%	83%	148%	73%
Annual Actual Total Cash	1,336,621	1,749,108	2,354,729	3,431,548	5,096,250	3,106,489
Long Term Incentive	-	-	-	-	127,955	183,551
Total Direct Compensation	1,358,412	1,788,670	2,399,953	3,683,597	5,809,845	3,290,040
No. of incumbent = 624						

Professional	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Average
Annual Base Salary	816,236	937,500	1,165,194	1,649,694	2,481,319	1,726,213
Annual Housing Allowance	72,399	90,024	168,000	300,000	612,000	274,716
Annual Total Cash Allowance	67,767	91,568	170,767	288,000	552,000	265,214
Annual Total Cash Allowance as % of Base Salary	8%	8%	12%	30%	34%	22%
Annual Guaranteed Pay	829,200	982,200	1,245,000	1,780,500	2,544,408	1,802,183
Annual Total Variable Pay	33,827	74,750	142,100	245,025	398,322	203,045
Annual Total Variable Pay as % of Base Salary	2%	7%	14%	19%	30%	16%
Annual Actual Total Cash	845,700	1,071,600	1,402,000	1,840,134	3,081,920	1,915,355
Long Term Incentive	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Direct Compensation	845,700	1,071,600	1,402,000	1,840,134	3,081,920	1,915,355
No. of incumbent = 122						

# 4.7.3. BREAKDOWN BY LAYERS (ALL SECTORS COMBINED)

Layer 1	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Average
Annual Base Salary	1,777,343	2,174,000	2,825,484	4,519,500	6,089,496	4,138,917
Annual Housing Allowance	12,000	216,000	804,000	1,200,000	1,608,000	778,631
Annual Total Cash Allowance	111,416	288,600	804,000	1,195,023	1,662,468	829,749
Annual Total Cash Allowance as % of Base Salary	4%	12%	28%	50%	69%	32%
Annual Guaranteed Pay	2,095,164	2,537,560	3,800,000	4,873,002	7,164,480	4,606,908
Annual Total Variable Pay	595,563	1,200,000	2,370,000	5,000,000	9,360,000	3,968,118
Annual Total Variable Pay as % of Base Salary	20%	33%	70%	135%	340%	129%
Annual Actual Total Cash	2,770,327	4,051,460	5,301,120	8,215,000	15,388,005	7,633,439
Long Term Incentive	-	-	-	-	32,469	230,176
Total Direct Compensation	2,770,327	4,051,460	5,301,120	9,094,396	16,003,759	7,863,615
Layer 2	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Average
Annual Base Salary	1,152,204	1,464,750	1,881,780	2,375,962	3,120,000	2,109,859
Annual Housing Allowance	142,478	288,000	500,000	911,640	1,180,440	619,559
Annual Total Cash Allowance	93,729	170,400	444,900	883,091	1,252,536	562,439
Annual Total Cash Allowance as % of Base Salary	6%	12%	28%	46%	60%	30%
Annual Guaranteed Pay	1,266,998	1,625,012	2,161,500	2,878,860	3,761,139	2,405,880
Annual Total Variable Pay	148,056	426,400	848,004	1,643,093	2,850,000	1,415,464
Annual Total Variable Pay as % of Base Salary	12%	25%	42%	69%	120%	66%
Annual Actual Total Cash	1,520,811	1,993,726	2,815,697	4,204,730	5,873,300	3,480,313
Long Term Incentive	ı	-	-	-	21,430	103,557
Total Direct Compensation	1,520,811	2,010,750	2,836,746	4,293,281	6,411,620	3,583,869
Layer 3	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Average
Annual Base Salary	896,100	1,080,000	1,348,644	1,679,175	2,121,300	1,444,759
Annual Housing Allowance	73,099	191,760	252,000	600,000	916,980	392,024
Annual Total Cash Allowance	43,200	120,000	240,000	643,656	947,200	382,910
Annual Total Cash Allowance as % of Base Salary	3%	9%	20%	42%	60%	27%
Annual Guaranteed Pay	955,257	1,200,000	1,499,000	2,040,000	2,583,860	1,642,614
Annual Total Variable Pay	127,428	250,957	494,610	826,810	1,301,351	716,070
Annual Total Variable Pay as % of Base Salary	12%	20%	31%	55%	108%	48%
Annual Actual Total Cash	1,080,540	1,399,998	1,931,850	2,589,792	3,413,490	2,148,074
Long Term Incentive	-	-	-	-	-	76,826
Total Direct Compensation	1,084,540	1,403,300	1,961,873	2,657,169	3,557,140	2,224,901

# 4.7.4. BREAKDOWN BY RANKS

Rank 1	P10	P15	P25	Median	P75	P90	Average
Annual Base Salary	1,917,912	2,098,241	2,391,300	2,901,120	4,900,002	6,698,328	4,553,515
Annual Housing Allowance	12,000	-	173,534	804,000	1,200,000	1,656,000	814,118
Annual Total Cash Allowance	18,240	150,240	290,392	852,000	1,298,844	1,653,702	851,686
Annual Total Cash Allowance as % of Base Salary	0%	5%	8%	28%	52%	69%	32%
Annual Guaranteed Pay	2,250,000	2,423,228	2,823,281	4,038,450	5,248,183	7,563,600	5,029,468
Annual Total Variable Pay	731,227	1,350,573	2,007,500	2,905,400	6,601,853	11,956,186	5,187,175
Annual Total Variable Pay as % of Base Salary	25%	0%	44%	88%	157%	550%	166%
Annual Actual Total Cash	3,386,574	4,365,786	5,053,625	6,230,000	12,764,000	17,415,245	9,223,354
Long Term Incentive	-	-	-	-	-	538,894	285,491
Total Direct Compensation	3,386,574	4,365,786	5,053,625	6,535,000	13,580,035	17,415,245	9,508,844

Rank 2	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Average
Annual Base Salary	1,476,444	1,765,350	2,200,008	3,039,519	3,983,004	2,592,562
Annual Housing Allowance	252,000	468,000	780,000	1,143,600	1,201,200	796,754
Annual Total Cash Allowance	144,000	367,200	640,364	1,141,320	1,349,660	763,015
Annual Total Cash Allowance as % of Base Salary	5%	17%	34%	55%	60%	35%
Annual Guaranteed Pay	1,706,640	2,163,630	2,898,950	3,454,200	4,676,592	3,063,486
Annual Total Variable Pay	561,675	800,000	1,399,998	2,242,865	3,822,400	2,037,909
Annual Total Variable Pay as % of Base Salary	25%	40%	54%	96%	200%	91%
Annual Actual Total Cash	2,379,956	3,188,422	4,105,438	5,339,225	8,055,306	4,678,637
Long Term Incentive	-	-	-	-	77,790	135,390
Total Direct Compensation	2,400,092	3,212,090	4,184,671	5,725,973	8,276,284	4,814,027

Rank 3	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Average
Annual Base Salary	1,026,540	1,260,000	1,635,000	2,040,000	2,416,933	1,690,676
Annual Housing Allowance	101,063	235,440	438,720	648,960	974,952	464,003
Annual Total Cash Allowance	30,806	144,000	288,000	650,880	979,680	421,249
Annual Total Cash Allowance as % of Base Salary	2%	9%	21%	43%	60%	27%
Annual Guaranteed Pay	1,140,000	1,436,343	1,864,066	2,325,090	2,761,088	1,914,423
Annual Total Variable Pay	168,600	367,603	599,180	1,004,500	1,677,600	867,540
Annual Total Variable Pay as % of Base Salary	14%	24%	35%	63%	108%	54%
Annual Actual Total Cash	1,490,003	1,870,635	2,361,326	3,011,178	3,830,915	2,596,692
Long Term Incentive	-	ı	-	-	18,176	92,632
Total Direct Compensation	1,498,549	1,889,108	2,386,727	3,072,180	4,010,346	2,689,324

Rank 4	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Average
Annual Base Salary	820,920	995,400	1,229,940	1,444,344	1,633,800	1,235,343
Annual Housing Allowance	78,421	139,776	211,800	252,000	446,400	254,308
Annual Total Cash Allowance	61,947	118,800	199,800	335,415	793,368	295,560
Annual Total Cash Allowance as % of Base Salary	7%	9%	19%	35%	60%	25%
Annual Guaranteed Pay	904,950	1,092,000	1,302,020	1,581,660	2,027,484	1,373,899
Annual Total Variable Pay	103,359	162,678	298,080	549,998	775,600	389,555
Annual Total Variable Pay as % of Base Salary	9%	14%	25%	42%	58%	31%
Annual Actual Total Cash	968,134	1,201,824	1,498,625	1,941,219	2,384,899	1,608,883
Long Term Incentive	-	-	-	-	-	52,108
Total Direct Compensation	968,134	1,214,001	1,500,000	1,985,733	2,420,832	1,660,990

# 4.7.5. BENEFITS

# Below is a summary of the benefits and perquisites of the participants

Benefit/Perquisite	All Sectors		
Total Participants	64		
Retirement (including gratuity)	63		
Contribution rate (excluding orgs providing only statutory MPF contribution)	0% (61) 1%-4% (2) 5%-8% (5) 9%-12% (1)		
ORSO (Defined Benefit)	19		
ORSO (Defined Contribution)	26		
Life Insurance	55		
Outpatient	61		
Hospitalization	62		
Medical	47		
AD&D	46		
Leave Passage Class of Travel	(20) First (0), Business (12), Economy (7), Not Reported (5)		
Car Most Common Car Models	(40) Benz S-Class, Audi A6, BMW 7 Series TOYOTA Camry, Honda Accord, Lexus		
Education Assistance	30		
Education Assistance for Children	18		
Clubs	37		
Number of clubs	1(32), 2 (17), 3 or more (16), Not Reported (2)		

#### 4.8. ANALYSIS

# (a) Comparison across sectors

The Private Sector offers the highest pay (in terms of total cash and total direct compensation) as a whole, followed by the public sector and the professional firms. As compared with the public sector and professional firms, variable pay accounts for a larger portion of the base salary of participants of the private sectors.

# (b) Comparison across layers

The median of the total direct compensation of the executives at the top level (Layer 1) is substantially above (87%) that of the Layer 2 executives. The difference is mainly attributable to the difference in Total Allowances and Variable Pay.

The difference between Layer 2 and Layer 3 executives is less significant. The difference in the median of the total direct compensation is about 45%.

# (c) Comparison across ranks

After aligning the incumbents' data (according to the job functions) into four ranks, we note that the median of the total direct compensation of the top level executives at Rank 1 is 56% above that of the Rank 2 executives. While the median pay for Rank 2 executives is 75% higher than that for Rank 3 executives.

#### 4.9. COMPARISON OF SURVEY FINDINGS

The following table shows the comparison between the findings of the survey conducted in 2001 and that of the current survey.

Survey Findings Comparison 2001\* vs. 2011 on All Organisations

	2001						
Pay Aggregates	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Average	
Base Salary	0	1,785,000	2,370,000	3,790,000	5,450,000	2,819,000	
Guaranteed Pay	350,000	2,084,000	3,500,000	4,436,000	6,486,000	3,486,000	
Total Variable Pay	0	456,000	1,427,000	3,956,000	9,672,000	2,965,000	
Total Variable Pay as % of Base Salary	0	0	34%	76%	158%	101%	
Actual Total Cash	2,309,000	4,397,000	5,570,000	7,760,000	12,225,000	6,451,000	
Long Term Incentive	0	0	0	593,000	1,178,000	438,000	
Total Direct Compensation.	2,615,000	4,397,000	6,045,000	9,028,000	12,225,000	6,889,000	

<sup>\* 2001</sup> Consultant Report – Findings of Survey of Remuneration of CEOs, Managing Directors and Managing Partners and Review of Overseas Practices

2011							
Rank 1							Compa-
(47 incu.)	P10	P25	Median	P75	P90	Average	ratio
Base Salary	1,917,912	2,391,300	2,901,120	4,900,002	6,698,328	4,553,515	22.40%
Guaranteed Pay	2,250,000	2,823,281	4,038,450	5,248,183	7,563,600	5,029,468	15.40%
Total Variable							
Pay	731,227	2,007,500	2,905,400	6,601,853	11,956,186	5,187,175	103.60%
Total Variable							
Pay as % of							
Base Salary	25%	44%	88%	157%	550%	166%	55%
Annual Actual							
Total Cash	3,386,574	5,053,625	6,230,000	12,764,000	17,415,245	9,223,354	11.80%
Long Term							
Incentive	0	0	0	0	538,894	285,491	
Total Direct							
Compensation.	3,386,574	5,053,625	6,535,000	13,580,035	17,415,245	9,508,844	8.10%
Compared with							
the pay levels	30%	15%	8%	50%	42%	38%	_
in 2001 (%)	30 /6	1370	0 /0	30 /6	₹2 /0	30 /6	_
change							

# The following points are of note:

- (a) there has been a clear and substantial increase in all pay elements for senior executives over the last ten years. For example, the median of the base salary increased by over 22% and the median of the variable pay by 103% over the period;
- (b) in terms of the total direct compensation, the median in the current survey (\$6,535,000) is 8% higher than that in 2001(\$6,045,000), while the average figure (\$9,508,844) is 38% higher than that in 2001(\$6,889,000).

#### 5. RECOMMENDATIONS

# 5.1. FACTORS TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT IN REVIEWING THE LEVELS OF REMUNERATION FOR PAOS

In reviewing the levels of remuneration for PAOs, we have taken into account the following:

# (a) Performance of the Hong Kong Economy and Change in Cost of Living

A review of the macro-economic indicators shows that the Hong Kong economy has continued to expand in the last nine years despite the ups and downs. From 2002 to 2011, the cumulative growth in GDP in real terms is 52.4%. On the other hand, the inflationary pressure has been on the rise in recent years, the cumulated increase in Composite CPI for the period is 15.2%.

	GDP (In chained (2009) dollars)	Composite CPI (%)
Year	(HK\$ million)	
2002	1,195,910	-3.0
2003	1,231,859	-2.6
2004	1,336,156	-0.4
2005	1,430,785	+1.0
2006	1,531,222	+2.0
2007	1,629,058	+2.0
2008	1,666,629	+4.3
2009	1,622,516	+0.5
2010	1,736,773	+2.4
2011	1,823,129	+5.3
Aggregate Increase	52.4%	15.2%

Source: Census and Statistics Department, HKSARG

# (b) Market Pay Rates

According to the findings of our survey as set out in Chapter 4, the average pay for senior executives has increased by 38% over the past ten years.

On the overall performance of the labour market, according to Aon Hewitt's survey on general industries, the average salary showed an increase of over 34% from 2001 to 2011.

Year	Salary Increase
2001	3.90%
2002	1.60%
2003	1.60%
2004	1.60%
2005	2.90%
2006	3.80%
2007	4.20%
2008	5.20%
2009	1.40%
2010	3.10%
2011	4.70%
Aggregate	34.0%
Increase	

Source: Aon Hewitt Salary Increase Survey (Hong Kong)

# (c) Roles and Employment Packages of the PAOs

POs are the most senior officials of the HKSARG. They are responsible for their respective portfolios designated to them by the Chief Executive and lead the executive departments within their respective portfolios.

POs, together with their deputies and political assistants, are also responsible for explaining and defending government policies as well as canvassing support from the public and the LegCo. They are accountable to the Chief Executive and the public for the success or failure of their policies.

We are of the view that the remuneration packages for PAOs should be competitive in order to attract talents from different sectors of the community to join the political team.

Our recommendations are set out in the following paragraphs.

#### 5.2. CASH REMUNERATION

#### **DoBs**

The pay level of DoBs is the anchor of the current pay structure for the PAOs.

When the Political Appointment System was introduced in 2002, the range of cash remuneration recommended by the consultant for DoBs (\$3,662,000 to \$3,995,000 per annum) represented a discount of 34% to 39% of the median level of total direct compensation of the 56 CEOs covered in a market survey. The final pay level for DoBs (\$3,742,800 per annum or \$311,900 per month) represented a discount of 38.1% of the reference point of \$6,045,000 per annum. It was between the 15<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> percentile of the total direct remuneration of the CEOs surveyed (\$3,484,000 per annum and \$4,477,000 per annum respectively) in the 2001 consultancy study.

For the sake of consistency, we consider it appropriate to apply the same discount factor to the current reference point of \$6,535,000 (the median of the total direct compensation of Rank 1 executives) and recommend an annual pay of \$4,045,165 (or \$4,045,200 per annum when rounded up to the nearest 50 dollars) or \$337,100 per month for DoBs<sup>26</sup> serving in the fourth-term HKSARG. This proposed level of pay falls between the 10<sup>th</sup> (\$3,386,574 per annum) and 15<sup>th</sup> percentile (\$4,365,786 per annum) of the total direct compensation for the Rank 1 executives in the current study.

We consider that the proposed level of pay is reasonable for the following reasons:

- (a) the proposed increase is moderate taking into account the performance of the Hong Kong's economy and change in cost of living over the past 10 years:
- (b) the proposed level of pay falls below the 15<sup>th</sup> percentile of the total direct compensation of the Rank 1 executives surveyed and the median of the total direct compensation for executives under Rank 2 (\$4,184,671 per annum).

# **Secretaries of Department**

At present, the pay differential between DoBs, SJ, FS and CS is 3.5%. Assuming that there is no substantial change in the division of responsibilities between DoBs and three Secretaries of Department, we consider it appropriate and recommend that the 3.5% differential be maintained for the fourth-term HKSARG.

Under this proposed arrangement, the cash remuneration for SJ, FS and CS serving in the fourth-term HKSARG will be \$4,186,800 (or \$348,900 per month<sup>27</sup>), \$4,333,320 (or \$361,110 per month<sup>28</sup>) and \$4,485,000 (or \$373,750 per month<sup>29</sup>) per annum respectively.

#### **Under Secretaries**

The remuneration for Under Secretaries is currently pitched at a range equivalent to 65% to 75% of the remuneration for a DoB. We consider this pay range appropriate and do not recommend any change to the pay range for the Under Secretaries serving in the fourth-term HKSARG.

Under this proposed arrangement, the pay range for Under Secretaries will be between \$2,629,380 and \$3,033,900 (or \$219,115 - \$252,825 per month<sup>30</sup>), which is between the 10th (\$2,400,092 per annum) and 25th percentile (\$3,212,090 per annum) of the total direct compensation for the Rank 2 executives in the current study and above the median of the total direct compensation for the Rank 3 executives (\$2,386,727 per annum).

The remuneration package for DoBs is applicable to the Director of the Chief Executive's Office.

Rounded up to the nearest 5 dollars.

#### **Political Assistants**

The remuneration for Political Assistants is currently pitched at a range equivalent to 35% to 55% of the remuneration for a DoB. The mid point, 45%, represents a 25% discount of the remuneration for the second tier of PAOs (i.e. the Under Secretaries). The remuneration for Under Secretaries is 30% below that for the top tier of PAOs (i.e. DoBs).

Moreover, in view of the proposed increase in the cash remuneration for DoBs and the views of the public regarding the roles of Political Assistants serving in the fourth-term HKSARG, we consider it more appropriate for the pay range for political assistants to be adjusted to 25 to 35% of DoBs' pay.

Under this proposed arrangement, the pay range for Political Assistants will be between \$1,011,300 and \$1,415,820 (or \$84,275 - \$117,985 per month<sup>31</sup>), which is between the 10th percentile (\$968,134 per annum) and the median (\$1,500,000 per annum) of the total direct compensation for the Rank 4 executives in the current study.

## 5.3. OTHER COMPONENTS OF THE REMUNERATION PACKAGE

In addition to cash remuneration, the PAOs are also eligible for –

- (a) annual leave of 22 days per annum (subject to a maximum accumulation limit of 22 days);
- (b) medical and dental benefits on the same basis as those provided to civil servants during the term of their employment;
- (c) Mandatory Provident Fund contribution by the HKSARG; and
- (d) for CS, FS, SJ, DoBs and Director of the Chief Executive's Office, a car and driver for use at his/her discretion.

These are all in-line with our survey findings of the top executives. We recommend that the same measures be adopted for PAOs serving in the fourth-term HKSARG.

# 5.4. ANNUAL ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM

We consider that the remuneration for PAOs should be adjusted annually in accordance with an objective indicator with effect from the fourth-term HKSARG. The following are two possible options:

(a) Rate of Civil Service Pay Adjustment

Although PAOs are not civil servants, the public generally regards PAOs as part of the public servants. It may be appropriate for the PAOs' pay be adjusted in accordance with civil service pay.

-

Rounded up to the nearest 5 dollars.

# (b) CPI

The CPI is an objective economic indicator reflecting the change in costs of living. It is also commonly used by private and public sectors for remuneration review. It may be appropriate for the PAOs' pay be adjusted in accordance with the CPI, as in the case of the Members of the LegCo and the Executive Council.

Other possible options include GDP and unemployment rate. However, we should recognise that GDP figures are subject to revisions over time, while unemployment rate is a lagging indicator that usually changes after the economy as a whole does. We do not recommend them for use as benchmark.

#### 5.5. REGULAR REMUNERATION REVIEW

Apart from an annual adjustment mechanism, we also consider that the levels of remuneration for PAOs should be reviewed at regular intervals so that they can keep abreast of changes in the pay levels of the private and public sectors and the economy situation in Hong Kong. We note that one of the tasks of the Independent Commission is to "carries out periodic review of the remuneration package for Officials under the Political Appointment System, say once every five years and normally about a year before the start of a new term of the HKSAR Government". We agree that five years is an appropriate time frame for a review.

# 6. SUMMARY OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Rank	Approved by LegCo FC (per annum)	Existing level of remuneration (per annum)	Recommended level of remuneration (per annum)	Compa	a-Ratio	
	(HK\$)	(HK\$)	(HK\$)	Recommended/ Approved level	Recommended/ Existing level	
cs	3,966,780	3,753,420	4,485,000	13.1%	19.5%	
FS	3,832,620	3,626,460	4,333,320	13.1%	19.5%	
SJ	3,703,020	3,503,820	4,186,800	13.1%	19.5%	
DoBs	3,577,380	3,384,960	4,045,200	13.1%	19.5%	
Under Secretaries	2,325,300 - 2,683,020	2,200,200 - 2,538,720	2,629,380 - 3,033,900	13.1%	19.5%	
Political Assistants	1,252,080 - 1,967,520	1,184,760 - 1,861,680	1,011,300 - 1,415,820	-19.2% - -28.0%	-14.6% - -23.9%	
Annual Adjustment Mechanism	-	-	Possible options include Rate of Civil Service Pay Adjustment and CPI	-	-	
Regular Review	-	Once every five years	Once every five years	-	-	

# **APPENDIX A: INVITATION LIST**

Common Name	Conton
Company Name	Sector
1 Airport Authority Hong Kong	Public
2 CLP Holdings Limited	Public(Utilities)
3 Construction Industry Council	Public
4 Hong Kong Applied Science and Technology Research Institute Company Limited (ASTRI)	Public
5 Hong Kong Convention & Exhibition Centre	Public
6 Hong Kong Housing Society	Public
7 Hong Kong Monetary Authority	Public
8 Hong Kong Mortgage Corporation	Public
9 Hong Kong Productivity Council	Public
10 Hong Kong Science and Technology Parks Corporation	Public
11 Hong Kong Tourism Board	Public
12 Hong Kong Trade Development Council	Public
13 Hong Kong International Theme Park Limited	Public
14 Hospital Authority	Public
15 Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes Authority	Public
16 MTR Corporation Limited	Public
17 Ocean Park Corporation	Public
18 Power Assets Holdings Limited	Public(Utilities)
19 Securities & Futures Commission	Public
20 The Hong Kong and China Gas Co. Limited	Public(Utilities)
21 The Hong Kong Jockey Club	Public
22 Tung Wah Group of Hospitals	Public
23 Urban Renewal Authority	Public
24 West Kowloon Cultural District Authority	Public
25 Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited	Public
26 DDB Group Hong Kong	Advertising
27 Grey Hong Kong Limited	Advertising
28 Leo Burnett Hong Kong	Advertising
29 McCann Worldgroup Hong Kong Limited	Advertising
30 Ogilvy & Mather Hong Kong	Advertising
31 OMD Hong Kong	Advertising
32 Aedas	Architect
33 Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Limited	Architect
34 Dennis Lau and Ng Chun Man Architects and Engineers (Hong Kong) Limited	Architect
35 Leigh & Orange Limited	Architect
36 P&T Architects and Engineers Limited	Architect
37 Ronald Lu & Partners	Architect
38 PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited	Auditing
39 Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited	Auditing
40 Ernst & Young Asia-Pacific Limited	Auditing
41 KPMG International Cooperative	Auditing
42 Aoba CPA Limited	Auditing
43 Baker Tilly Hong Kong Limited	Auditing
44 Crowe Horwath (Hong Kong) CPA Limited	Auditing
45 HLB Hodgson Impey Cheng	Auditing
46 BDO Hong Kong	Auditing
47 Moore Stephens Hong Kong	Auditing
48 Burson-Marstellar Hong Kong	Communications
49 Hill & Knowlton Hong Kong	Communications
50 J Walter Thompson Hong Kong	Communications
51 Chevalier Corporate Management Limited	Construction/ Engineering

Company Name	Sector
52 ATAL Engineering Limited	Construction/ Engineering
53 Chun Wo Construction and Engineering Company Limited	Construction/ Engineering
54 Gammon Construction Limited	Construction/ Engineering
55 HAECO	Construction/ Engineering
56 Hsin Chong Construction Group Limited	Construction/ Engineering
57 Kum Shing Group	Construction/ Engineering
58 Shui On Construction and Materials Limited	Construction/ Engineering
59 The Jardine Engineering Corporation Limited	Construction/ Engineering
60 Yau Lee Holdings Limited	Construction/ Engineering
61 K.Wah Group	Construction/ Engineering
62 Accenture Company Limited – Hong Kong	Consulting (IT/Strategy/HR)
63 AT Kearney Asia Pacific	Consulting (IT/Strategy/HR)
64 Bain & Company (Hong Kong)	Consulting (IT/Strategy/HR)
65 Boston Consulting Group	Consulting (IT/Strategy/HR)
66 McKinsey & Company	Consulting (IT/Strategy/HR)
67 PA Consulting Group Limited	Consulting (IT/Strategy/HR)
68 Towers Watson Hong Kong Limited	Consulting (IT/Strategy/HR)
69 Mercer LLC	Consulting (IT/Strategy/HR)
70 Atkins China Limited	Engineering/Architecture/Surveying
71 Binnie Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited	Engineering/Architecture/Surveying
72 Foster Partners Asia	Engineering/Architecture/Surveying
73 Halcrow China Limited	Engineering/Architecture/Surveying
74 AECOM Asia Co Limited	Engineering/Architecture/Surveying
75 Parsons Brinckerhoff (Asia)	Engineering/Architecture/Surveying
76 Scott Wilson Limited	Engineering/Architecture/Surveying
77 Skidmore Owings & Merrill	Engineering/Architecture/Surveying
78 Woo, Kwan, Lee & Lo	Law
79 Allen & Overy (Hong Kong)	Law
80 Baker & Mckenzie	Law
81 Clifford Chance LLP (Hong Kong)	Law
82 Deacons Hong Kong	Law
83 DLA Piper Hong Kong	Law
84 Gallant Y.T. Ho & Co.	Law
85 Linklaters Hong Kong	Law
86 Mayer Brown JSM	Law
87 Morrison & Foerster Hong Kong	Law
88 Norton Rose Hong Kong	Law
89 Orrick Hong Kong	Law
90 P.C Woo & Co.	Law
91 Slaughter and May	Law
<sup>92</sup> Tsang, Chan & Wong, Solicitors, Notaries, Agents for Trade Marks and Patents	<sup>9</sup> Law
93 Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	Law
94 Reed Smith Richard Butler	Law
95 Simmons & Simmons	Law
96 Centaline Properties	Real Estate
97 Knight Frank Hong Kong Limited	Real Estate
98 FPD Savills Hong Kong Limited	Real Estate
99 Vigers Hong Kong Limited	Real Estate
100 Manifold Consultancy Co. Limited	Recruiting/ Headhunting
101 Manpower Services (Hong Kong) Limited	Recruiting/ Headhunting
102 Michael Page International	Recruiting/ Headhunting
103 Morgan McKinley Limited	Recruiting/ Headhunting
104 Pacific Bridge, Inc.	Recruiting/ Headhunting
105 Ross Recruitment Limited	Recruiting/ Headhunting

Company Name	Sector
106 CB Richard Ellis Limited	Surveying
107 Colliers International (Hong Kong) Limited	Surveying
108 Jones Lang LaSalle Limited	Surveying
109 Rider Levett Bucknall	Surveying Bank
110 Bank of China (Hong Kong) Limited	
111 Bank of East Asia	Bank
112 China Construction Bank (Asia) Corporation Limited	Bank
113 DBS Bank (Hong Kong) Limited	Bank Bank
114 Hang Seng Bank Limited 115 HSBC	Bank
116 Industrial and Commercial Bank of China (Asia) Limited	Bank
117 Standard Chartered Bank (Hong Kong) Limited	Bank
118 DuPont China	Chemical
119 Citic Pacific Limited	Conglomerate
120 Hutchison Whampoa Limited	Conglomerate
121 Jardine Matheson Holdings	Conglomerate
122 Swire Pacific Limited (John Swire & Sons)	Conglomerate
123 The Wharf (Holdings) Limited	Conglomerate
124 Sime Darby Hong Kong Limited	Diversified Companies
125 Wheelock and Co. Limited	Diversified Companies
126 Bank of America Merrill Lynch	Financial Services
127 Credit Suisse Ag Hong Kong Branch	Financial Services
128 Goldman Sachs (Asia)	Financial Services
129 JP Morgan	Financial Services
130 Morgan Stanley	Financial Services
131 The Royal Bank of Scotland Hong Kong	Financial Services
132 UBS AG	Financial Services
133 3M Hong Kong Limited	FMCG
134 Amoy Food Limited	FMCG
135 British American Tobacco Hong Kong	FMCG
136 Carlsberg Brewery Hong Kong Limited	FMCG
137 Johnson & Johnson (Hong Kong) Limited	FMCG
138 JT International (Asia Pacific) Limited	FMCG
139 Kimberly-Clark Corporation	FMCG
140 LVMH Group	FMCG
141 Nestle Hong Kong Limited	FMCG
142 PepsiCo Inc	FMCG
143 Philip Morris Asia Limited	FMCG
144 Procter & Gamble Hong Kong Limited	FMCG
145 Swire Coca-Cola Hong Kong	FMCG
146 Vitasoy International Holdings Limited	FMCG
147 Café De Coral Holdings Limited	Food & Restaurants
148 Fairwood Holdings Limited	Food & Restaurants
149 Maxim Caterers Limited	Food & Restaurants
150 McDonald's Restaurants (Hong Kong) Limited	Food & Restaurants
151 Apple Asia Limited	Hi-Tech
152 AT&T Asia/Pacific Group Limited	Hi-Tech
153 Google (Hong Kong) Limited	Hi-Tech
154 IBM China/Hong Kong Limited	Hi-Tech
155 Intel Semiconductor Limited	Hi-Tech
156 Microsoft Hong Kong Limited	Hi-Tech
157 Nokia (Hong Kong) Limited	Hi-Tech
158 Samsung Hong Kong Limited	Hi-Tech
159 Sony Corporation of Hong Kong Limited	Hi-Tech

Company Namo	Sector
Company Name	
160 Hyatt Hotels Corporation	Hotel
161 InterContinental Hotels Group	Hotel
162 Shangri-La International Hotel Management Limited 163 The Hong Kong and Shanghai Hotels Limited	Hotel Hotel
164 Johnson Electric Holdings Limited	Industrial
165 SAE Magnetics (Hong Kong) Limited	Industrial
166 AIA Group Limited	Insurance
167 AXA General Insurance Hong Kong Limited	Insurance
168 China Life Insurance Co. Limited	Insurance
169 HSBC Insurance (Asia) Limited	Insurance
170 ING Life Insurance Company (Bermuda) Limited	Insurance
171 Manulife Financial Corporation	Insurance
172 Ping An Insurance (Group) Co. of China Limited	Insurance
173 Prudential Corporation Asia	Insurance
174 Swiss Reinsurance Company Limited	Insurance
175 Allianz Insurance (Hong Kong) Limited	Insurance
176 Ageas Insurance Company (Asia) Limited	Insurance
177 Sun Life Hong Kong Limited	Insurance
178 SCMP Group Limited	Media and Entertainment
179 Television Broadcasts Limited	Media and Entertainment
180 BP Hong Kong Limited	Oil and Gas
181 Chevron Companies (Greater China) Limited	Oil and Gas
182 ExxonMobil Hong Kong Limited	Oil and Gas
183 Shell Hong Kong Limited	Oil and Gas
184 Quality HealthCare Asia Limited	Others
185 GlaxoSmithKline Limited	Pharma
186 Merck Sharp & Dohme (Asia) Limited	Pharma
187 Pfizer Corporation Hong Kong Limited	Pharma
188 Cheung Kong (Holdings) Limited	Properties
189 Great Eagle Properties Holdings Co. Limited	Properties
190 Hang Lung Properties Limited	Properties
191 Henderson Land Development Co. Limited	Properties
192 Hong Kong Land Company, Limited	Properties
193 Hopewell Holdings Limited	Properties
194 Hysan Development Co. Limited	Properties
195 Kerry Properties Limited	Properties
196 Sino Land Co. Limited	Properties
197 Sun Hung Kai Properties Limited	Properties
198 Swire Properties Limited	Properties
199 A. S. Watson Group (Hong Kong) Limited	Retail
200 Adidas Hong Kong Limited	Retail
201 Belle International Holdings Limited	Retail
202 Bossini Enterprises Limited	Retail
203 Dah Chong Hong Holdings Limited	Retail
204 Dairy Farm International Holdings Limited	Retail
205 Esprit Holdings Limited	Retail
206 G2000 (Apparel) Limited	Retail
207 Giordano Limited	Retail
208 Lane Crawford (Hong Kong) Limited	Retail
209 Li & Fung Limited	Retail
210 Nike, Inc.	Retail
211 Shun Hing Electronic Trading Co., Limited	Retail
212 Alcatel-Lucent China	Telecommunications
213 City Telecom (Hong Kong) Limited	Telecommunications

Company Name	Sector
214 Hutchison Telecommunications Hong Kong Holdings Limited	Telecommunications
215 i-CABLE Communication Limited	Telecommunications
216 New World Telecommunications Limited	Telecommunications
217 Nortel Networks (Asia Pacific)	Telecommunications
218 PCCW Limited	Telecommunications
219 Siemens	Telecommunications
220 Wharf T&T Limited	Telecommunications
221 Cathay Pacific Airways Limited	Transportation & Logistics
222 DHL International Hong Kong	Transportation & Logistics
223 Federal Express (Hong Kong) Limited	Transportation & Logistics
224 Hong Kong Air Cargo Terminals Limited (HACTL)	Transportation & Logistics
225 Modern Terminals Limited	Transportation & Logistics
226 NWS Holdings Limited	Transportation & Logistics
227 Orient Overseas Container Line Limited	Transportation & Logistics
228 The Kowloon Motor Bus Co. (1933) Limited	Transportation & Logistics
229 UPS Parcel Delivery Service Limited	Transportation & Logistics

# **APPENDIX B: PARTICIPATING COMPANIES/ORGANISATIONS**

Com	pany Name	Sector	
1	CLP Holdings Limited	Public	
2	Construction Industry Council	Public	
3	Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes Authority	Public	
4	Hong Kong Tourism Board	Public	
5	The Hong Kong Mortgage Corporation Limited	Public	
6	MTR Corporation Limited	Public	
7	Ocean Park Corporation	Public	
8	Hong Kong Science and Technology Parks Corporation	Public	
9	Tung Wah Group of Hospitals	Public	
10	The Hong Kong Jockey Club	Public	
11	Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited	Public	
12	Hong Kong Applied Science and Technology Research Institute Company Limited (ASTRI)	Public	
13	Hong Kong Productivity Council	Public	
14	Hong Kong Trade Development Council	Public	
15	The Hong Kong and China Gas Co. Limited	Public	
16	West Kowloon Cultural District Authority	Public	
17	Hong Kong Monetary Authority	Public	
18	Hospital Authority	Public	
19	Securities & Futures Commission	Public	
20	Hong Kong International Theme Park Limited	Public	
21	Urban Renewal Authority	Public	
22	Hong Kong Housing Society	Public	
23	Power Assets Holdings Limited	Public	
24	Airport Authority Hong Kong	Public	
25	Baker Tilly Hong Kong Limited	Auditing	
26	Crowe Horwath (Hong Kong) CPA Limtied	Auditing	
27	Ogilvy & Mather Hong Kong Limited	Advertising	
28	Atkins China Limited	Engineer/Architecture/Surveying	
29	Scott Wilson Limited	Engineer/Architecture/Surveying	
30	Binnie Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited	Engineer/Architecture/Surveying	
31	Woo, Kwan, Lee & Lo	Law	

Comp	Company Name Sector			
32	Ross Recrutiment Limited	Recruitment/Headhunting		
33	Hopewell Holdings Limited	Properties		
34	Hang Lung Properties Limited	Properties		
35	SAE Magnetics (Hong Kong) Limited	Industrial		
36	Shui On Construction and Materials Limited	Construction/ Engineering		
37	The Jardine Engineering Corporation Limited	Construction/ Engineering		
38	HAECO	Construction/ Engineering		
39	Gammon Construction Limited	Construction/ Engineering		
40	Hsin Chong Construction Group Limited	Construction/ Engineering		
41	Yau Lee Holdings Limited	Construction/ Engineering		
42	ATAL Engineering Limited	Construction/ Engineering		
43	Chevalier Corporate Management Limited	Construction/ Engineering		
44	HSBC	Bank		
45	Hang Seng Bank Limited	Bank		
46	Standard Chartered Bank (Hong Kong) Limited	Bank		
47	Bank of China (Hong Kong) Limited	Bank		
48	China Construction Bank (Asia) Corporation Limited	Bank		
49	The Royal Bank of Scotland Hong Kong	Financial Service		
50	Amoy Food Limited	FMCG		
51	Carlsberg Brewery Hong Kong Limited	FMCG		
52	CITIC Pacific Limited	Conglomerate		
53	Jardine Matheson Holdings	Conglomerate		
54	Cathay Pacific Airways Limtied	Transportation & Logistics		
55	Modern Terminals Limited	Transportation & Logistics		
56	Hong Kong Air Cargo Terminals Limtied (HACTL)	Transportation & Logistics		
57.	Orient Overseas Container Line Limited	Transportation & Logistics		
58.	Television Broadcasts Limited	Media and Entertainment		
59.	McDonald's Restaurants (Hong Kong) Limited	Food & Restaurants		
60.	HSBC Insurance (Asia) Limited	Insurance		
61.	Manulife Financial Corporation	Insurance		
62.	Swiss Reinsurance Company Limited	Insurance		
63.	G2000 (Apparel) Limited	Retail		
64.	Dairy Farm International Holdings Limited	Retail		

### **APPENDIX C: LTI VALUATION METHODOLOGY**

Aon Hewitt used **Black-Scholes Model** in the valuation of long term incentive (LTI) provided by the participating organizations. It is a popular method and commonly used in the valuation of stock options.

Black-Scholes model shows that it is possible to create a hedged position, consisting of a long position in the stock and a short position in the option, whose value will not depend on the price of the stock. In apply the model, following assumptions need to be made:

- There is no way to make a risk-less profit (no arbitrage opportunity).
- It is possible to borrow and lend cash at a known constant risk-free interest rate.
- It is possible to buy and sell any amount, even fractional of stock (this includes short selling).
- The transactions do not incur any significant fees or costs. (i.e., frictionless market).
- The stock price follows a geometric Brownian motion with constant drift and volatility.

Although there are expanded modern models which removed several of these assumptions by accounting for changing interest rates and transaction cost, each expanded models has their criteria and assumed parameters/ factors need to be made and therefore make the formula unnecessary complicated but with small differences in the valuation results. As the provision of LTI is not prevalent among the participants, Black-Scholes Model is sufficiently accurate for the purposes of this analysis.

# **Black Scholes Pricing Formula**

```
\begin{split} C &= SN(d_1) \text{-} X e^{-r(T-t)} N(d_2) \\ \text{Where} \\ d_1 &= [\ln(S/X) + (r \cdot s^2/2)(T-t)] / \ s(T-t)^{1/2} \\ d_2 &= d_1 - \ s(T-t)^{1/2} \end{split}
```

c=call option price

S = current stock price

X = exercise price

Xe<sup>-r(T-t)</sup> = continuously compounded risk free rate

s = volatility rate (standard deviation of stock price returns)

T = maturity date

t = date option is being valued

N(x) = cumulative probability distribution function for a standardized normal variable.

As listed above, there are six inputs to the Black-Scholes model where 4 inputs are directly related to the option price (market value of the stock, risk free rate, time to expiration, and volatility) and 2 are inversely related to option values (exercise price and dividend yield). In the valuation of our study, only the LTIs granted in the year of 2011 are taken into the analysis. The residual value or number of shares carried forward from past years is outside the scope of valuation. Risk-free rate was set at 2% and vesting period at 5 years. Other parameters and information were provided either in the data collection pack or from the latest public information.

# **APPENDIX D: JOB EVALUATION FACTOR DEFINITIONS**

Factors and the Levels Descriptors in the Questionnaire that are applied to differentiate the positions are:

Knowledge (The total know-how and experience required for the job)	Application  (The level to which the Knowledge is applied by this position)	Problem Solving	Interaction  (The level of influence and the communication and interpersonal skill needed)
1. Several Disciplines - Broad knowledge of several related disciplines within a function  2. Single Function - Broad, extensive knowledge within a functional area (of theories and techniques) and its interrelationship with other functional areas  3. Diverse - Advanced knowledge of several diverse functions and able to integrate them	guidelines  2. Integrating - Principles based. Can develop new policies  3. Mastery - Develop	1. Broad - Solutions needs to consider multiple perspectives and future implications  2. Complex - Requires judgment to devise and apply new solutions and concepts  3. Highly Complex - Solutions have broad impact on organizational policy  4. Novel - Solutions have long-term and strategic impact on either the organization, the profession, or both	Influence - Communicate complex issues or diverse audience perspectives     Negotiation - Develops communication strategies and resolves conflicts     Strategic Negotiation - Represents overall organization, manages strategic communications/decisions

Organization Impact (The position's impact on business objectives.)	Personal Impact  (The sphere of influence and the time-horizon of the position's impact)	Accountability  The position is considered to have direct impact if it is responsible for the development, creation or delivery of the product or services the organisation provides.  It is generally considered indirect if the delivery is through other staff or subordinates.	
1. Function Head - Single Business Line  2. Function Head - Multiple Business Lines  3. Business Head - Single Business Line  4. Business Head - Multiple Business Lines	1. Execution - Execute operational plans, medium-term goals  2. Operational Planning - Execute strategic plans, medium-term goals  3. Strategic Planning - Translates vision into plans and guides their execution, medium- to long-term goals  4. Visionary Development - Sets the vision and guides the achievement of goals. Impacts long-term goals	<ol> <li>Revenue - Direct</li> <li>Revenue - Indirect</li> <li>Operating Budget - Direct</li> <li>Operating Budget - Indirect</li> </ol>	Revenue or Budget Size Accountable