

Questionnaire and Survey Result of the Public Housing Recurrent Survey 2016
Views on Marking Scheme for Estate Management Enforcement

		Result
F1	Aware of Marking Scheme	
	Yes	94%
	No	6%
F2	Whether the Marking Scheme was effective in preventing tenants from throwing objects from height	
	Yes	55%
	No	43%
	<i>Main reasons for being unable to improve</i>	
	<i>Difficult to catch the culprit</i>	43%
	<i>Not enough manpower to enforce the scheme</i>	15%
	<i>Deterrent effect not enough</i>	12%
	<i>Poor self-discipline of tenants</i>	29%
<i>Others</i>	1%	
	Don't know/ No comment	2%
F3	Whether the Marking Scheme was effective in prohibiting unauthorized dog-keeping inside premises	
	Yes	54%
	No	41%
	<i>Main reasons for being unable to prevent</i>	
	<i>Difficult to catch the culprit</i>	22%
	<i>Not enough manpower to enforce the scheme</i>	24%
	<i>Deterrent effect not enough</i>	15%
	<i>Poor self-discipline of tenants</i>	38%
<i>Others</i>	1%	
	Don't know/ No comment	5%
F4	Whether the HA should relax the existing restriction on dog-keeping in PRH	
	Yes	24%
	No	69%
	No comment	8%

		Result
F5	Main reasons for supporting the relaxation	
	<i>Like dogs</i>	19%
	<i>Keeping dogs are beneficial to both physical and mental health</i>	22%
	<i>No nuisance created if the dog owner is self-disciplined</i>	47%
	<i>Life style of society is changed</i>	6%
	<i>Others</i>	6%
} go to F7		
F6	Main reasons for Not supporting the relaxation	
	<i>Create hygiene nuisance</i>	58%
	<i>Create noise nuisance</i>	27%
	<i>Fear of dogs</i>	8%
	<i>Worried about being hurt by the dog</i>	5%
<i>others</i>	2%	
F7	Whether the Marking Scheme could improve cleanliness and hygienic condition	
	Yes	76%
	No	23%
	<u>Main reasons for being unable to improve</u>	
	<i>Difficult to catch the culprit</i>	22%
	<i>Not enough officers to enforce the scheme</i>	24%
	<i>Deterrent effect not enough</i>	18%
	<i>Poor self-discipline of tenants</i>	35%
	<i>Others</i>	1%
Don't know/ No comment	1%	