

**Table 1 – Number of public works contractors
with site safety performance attribute rated as “Poor” or “Very Poor”
in quarterly performance reports from 2020 to 2024**

| Year | Number of contractors with site safety performance attribute (Note 1) rated as “Poor” (Note 2) in certain quarter | Number of contractors with site safety performance attribute (Note 1) rated as “Very Poor” (Note 2) in certain quarter | Number of contractors suspended from tendering due to site safety reason (Note 3) |
|-------------|--|---|--|
| 2020 | 31 | 8 | 5 |
| 2021 | 30 | 13 | 3 |
| 2022 | 46 | 7 | 2 |
| 2023 | 60 | 11 | 6 |
| 2024 | 52 | 14 | 5 |

**Table 2 – Number of public works contractors
with overall performance rated as “Poor” or “Very Poor”
in quarterly performance reports from 2020 to 2024**

| Year | Number of contractors with overall performance (Note 1) rated as “Poor” (Note 2) in certain quarter | Number of contractors with overall performance (Note 1) rated as “Very Poor” (Note 2) in certain quarter | Number of contractors suspended from tendering due to overall performance reason (Note 4) |
|-------------|--|---|--|
| 2020 | 36 | 20 | 9 |
| 2021 | 37 | 33 | 5 |
| 2022 | 69 | 25 | 7 |
| 2023 | 69 | 37 | 13 |
| 2024 | 67 | 40 | 10 |

Note 1: Under the performance evaluation system for public works contractors, the contractor’s site safety performance attribute and overall performance can be rated as “Very Good”, “Good”, “Satisfactory”, “Poor” or “Very Poor”.

Note 2: A “Poor” rating means that the contractor’s performance in the quarter was below that required by the contract though without serious deficiencies; or the contractor is required to be repeatedly requested for improvement in order to attain a satisfactory level of performance. A “Very Poor” rating means that the contractor’s performance in the quarter was significantly

below that required by the contract; or the contractor's performance still failed to attain a satisfactory level despite repeated requests for improvement.

Note 3: The causes for suspension of contractors from tendering for public works contracts due to site safety reason include: (i) the contractor was involved in serious site safety incident (including fatal accidents and accidents involving serious bodily injuries) during the quarter, and hence was suspended from tendering pursuant to the regulating mechanism; and (ii) the contractor was rated as "Very Poor" in the site safety performance attribute for two consecutive quarters, and hence was suspended from tendering pursuant to the established mechanism.

Note 4: The causes for suspension of contractors from tendering for public works contracts due to overall performance include: (i) site safety reason (see Note 3) and (ii) non-site safety reason. Suspensions of contractors due to non-site safety reason were triggered by contractors having "Very Poor" overall performance in two consecutive quarters arising mainly from slow progress of works, insufficient management and supervisory staff, or insufficient provision of labour resources etc and hence were suspended from tendering pursuant to the established mechanism.

Supplementary information: In the past five years, no public works contractor was removed from the approved lists due to site safety performance attribute or overall performance rated as "Very Poor".